We received the following questions regarding the RFQ listed above:

Question #1: I am looking for any legal language that we would be bound by for our counsel to review and comment on. Does Will County have a standard form of agreement, for example?
Answer #1: The design contract for the new WC Health Dept. building will utilize current AIA format.

Question #2: The RFQ states that sites other than the existing may be considered for the new Health Department.
   Question #2a: How and when will the final site selection be made?
   Answer #2a: To be determined
   Question #2b: What role is anticipated for the A/E in determining the selected site?
   Answer #2b: An advisory role

Question #3: The RFQ states that “renovating the existing building has been rejected” and continues by saying that the A/E “shall consider these and other existing conditions…”
   3a: Has a final determination been made about full or partial reuse of the existing building or will reconsideration of this option be included in the A/E’s scope?
   Answer 3a: Yes
   3b: Is demolition of this building in the project scope?
   Answer 3b: No
   3c: Are hazardous materials (e.g. asbestos, PCB’s) likely present in the existing building? This may necessitate additional consultants.
   Answer 3c: Irrelevant to this RFQ

Question #4a: What are the existing and approximate projected occupancy requirements (in square feet) for the Health Department?
   Answer 4a: To be determined during project planning / programming phase of design development
   4b: Are plans of the existing building available?
   Answer 4b: No

Question #5: Is any clinic space anticipated in the scope of this project or is it only office space?
Answer #5: See response in Addendum No. 1

Question #6: Has an occupancy date for the new facility been established?
Answer #6: No

Question #7: In the proposed Hold Harmless Clause, can the phrase “or otherwise” at the end of the first sentence be eliminated. As written, the liability is seemingly limitless and is likely not insurable.
Answer #7: We are not willing to change the language as it is the same language that we have used in many RFQs including the one for courthouse programming and I have not heard of any vendors having problems obtaining insurance.